
## Marks, Diane D. Page 1 of 1

## Marquis, Louise Page 1 of 3



```
4-25-04
1/04.01
2/33.01
3/37.01
```

March 2005 2-197

### Marquis, Louise Page 2 of 3

### Marquis, Louise Page 3 of 3

3/37.01 cont.	plan makes fivermore tabs the primary test site for your technologies for manufacturing plutonium put s" for succlear weapons le plutonium pit " is a soft - ball sized piece of plutonium that sits inside a nuclear weapon and triggers thermoruclear explosion This is a threat to the realth t safety of all of us living in the Bay
4/39.01	Area, as well as a horrible danger to the world due to the creation of many additional hecclear weapons also, the EFSWEIS Cally for Fruermore Fals to be the center of development of a new horized of underground

4/39.01 cont.	suclear festing. This is a danger to health as well as to world peace. Please oppose this terribly misguide and dangerous SWEIS
	Louise Marquis
	Miss Louise Marquis P O Box 2255 Berkeley, CA 94702

2-198 March 2005

#### Martin, John W. Page 1 of 1

01045

RECEIVED MAY 2 5 2004

ohn W. Martin 3575 Acapulco Trail housand Palms, Ca 92276

May 19, 2004

Ar. Tom Grim OOE NSA L-293 000 East Ave. .ivermore, CA 94550

Re: My comments on six dangerous new programs being proposed at Livermore Lab

Please consider this letter as my comments on the environmental and proliferation risks from proposed nuclear weapons development and new plutonium and tritium programs at the U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE) awrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL). The DOE has prepared a draft Site Wide Environmental Impact Statement (SWEIS) that proposes to ramp up nuclear weapons activities at the Livermore Lab in Northern California.

Livermore Lab is working on the design of a new, high-yield nuclear bunker-buster, called the "Robust Nuclear Earth Penetrator," and I oppose its development. Additionally, I oppose the development of so-called 'mini-nukes' and other new nuclear weapons concepts being researched at Livermore Lab including; I) Storage of More Nuclear Materials. 2) Plutonium Atomic Vapor Laser Isotope Separation (AVLIS), 3) Dangerous New Experiments in the National Equition Facility Mega-Laser. 4) New Technologies for Producing Plutonium Bomb Cores. 5) Enhancing Readiness to Resume Full-Scale Nuclear Tests. 6) Mixing Bugs and Bombs.

1/04.01

I believe the DOE plan to introduce new weapons programs into LLNL will accelerate a new arms race and escalate the nuclear danger. Further, the DOE proposal to double LLNL's plutonium storage limit and triple the amount held "at risk" increases the environmental threat LLNL poses to the people of California. The SWEIS propels Livermore Lab in exactly the wrong direction. Instead of proposing new weapons projects, DOE should develop the peaceful, non-millarty scientific capabilities at Livermore Lab.

Maybury, John Page 1 of 1

	1
--	---

FROM : DAVIDSON DIRECT/GOOFBUSTER

FAX NO. :6503558163

May. 15 2004 09:49PM P1

Draft Site-wide Environmental Impact Statement for Continued Operation of Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory and Supplemental Stockpile Stewardship and Management Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement U.S. Department of Energy National Nuclear Security Administration

Written Comment Form Must be received on or before May 27, 2004.

Comment forms may be mailed to: Mr. Tom Grim Document Manager National Nuclear Security Administration Livermore Site Office, L-293 7000 East Avenue

Livermore, CA 94550-9234

Comment forms may be faxed to: Mr. Tom Grim (925) 422-1776

Chapter 2 - Comment Documents LLNL SW/SPEIS

#### McCann, Kay Page 1 of 1

### McCauley, Jacqueline Page 1 of 1

Katherine McCann 585 Chelmsford Hillsborough, CA 94010 May 7, 2004

Mr. Tom Grim DOE, NNSA, L-293 7000 East Ave. Livermore, CA 94550

Mr. Tom Grim,

3/07.01


I understand that LLNL has plans to increase it's supply of plutonium, revive the dangerous process of Vapor Laser Isotope Separation, restart the production of deadly Tritium cores and add a facility for Bio-warfare research.

1/04.01 I believe this planning is suicidal for our nation and indeed for the earth itself! How is this different than a suicide bomber?

The U.S. already has the largest supply of weapons of "mass destruction". Adding to that number only stimulates other nations to arm themselves against them. Equally disturbing is the fact that all of this stockpiling and research is being done near two earthquake faults.

I urge you to turn away from competing for ever more destructive weaponry ant to concentrate instead on creating and supporting plans to decrease such weaponry.

Sincerely, Kay McCann



2-200 March 2005

#### McFadden, Ray Page 1 of 1

#### McGee, Claire Page 1 of 1

Ray McFadden 527 29th St San Francisco, CA 94131 May 25, 2004 Mr. Tom Grim DOE, NNSA L-293 7000 East Ave. Livermore, CA 94550 Dear Mr. Grim: Regarding the risks from proposed nuclear weapons development at Lawrence Livermore Labs. I strongly oppose the proposed development of the mini-nukes and new 1/02.01 nuclear bunker-buster at Livermore Labs. We need less plutonium at Livermore Labs, not more. It is insane to 2/04.01 increase the radioactive capacity of this facility so close to major population centers and seismic faults. I fully support the advocacy of Tri-Valley CAREs and the California Peace Action group against Livermore 3/35.01 Labs. I am particularly concerned about the discussion to research biologic and nuclear weaponry in our community. This needs to stop. Please re-focus the incredible talent of that facility on programs that would reduce our reliance on non-renewable energy and on the critical issues 4/07.01 facing our children such as global warming, sustainable energy and clean water supplies. Sincerely, Ray McFadden

#### Gentlemen:

You are no doubt getting many copies of a letter, against ramping up nuclear activities at Livermore Lab.

I will not reprint it here. I agree with it, and urge you to stop the insanity.

1/04.01

If a car is headed for a cliff, what is needed is to put on the brakes and turn around and get away from the cliff. We need to stop adding to nuclear weapons now, before it is too late. We are headed over the cliff.

Thank you for your consideration.

Claire McGee 2236 SE Brooklyn Portland OR 97202 503 901-1450

March 2005 2-201

# McGovern, Shirley Page 1 of 1

# McNulty, Robin Page 1 of 1

-----Original Message-----From: nookno@webtv.net [mailto:nookno@webtv.net] Sent: Tuesday, April 27, 2004 9:20 AM To: tom.grim@oak.doe.gov Subject: Lawrence Livermore EIR plan

Dear Sir:

The proposed plans for Livermore Lab are totally unacceptable. Not only do I firmly believe that there is no over-riding reason in this world today for us to plan further technology for weapons of mass destruction, but also the real potential for a disaster of our own making on our own people.

1/04.01

While I abhor the world terrorist organizations and their total disregard for life, I sense that we are becoming like them. Will our government, in the guise of national security become the terrorists we so fear?

This plan must not go forward.

Shirley McGovern 947 Avd Carmel, #A Laguna Woods, CA 92653

	4-21-04
ABOUT THE RESIDENCE OF STREET,	Mr. Grim,
	I am writing to share my
	L am writing to share my opposition to coeapons manufacturing/
1/37.01	testing in my area. Please stop
, 2/39.01	your planned operations for the
THE CONTROL OF THE CO	next ten years. I urge you to
	Stop the manufacturing of
A Principal Commercial State (Control of Control of Con	whappers of Mass destruction.
	Sincerely
	Robin MSNulty
	Palo AHO, CA
	,
THE CONTROL OF THE CO	
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	

2-202 March 2005